

Ryan M. Murphy

SHAREHOLDER

Washington, D.C. | 202.626.8373

rmurphy@polsinelli.com



Ryan Murphy partners with clients to understand their business and individual roles within an industry in order to obtain positive outcomes on their behalf. Much of Ryan's practice involves advanced electrical, software, and communications devices and systems, medical devices, pharmaceutical compounds and mechanical devices. Exemplary technologies include mechanical and polymer attachment mechanisms, cellular telephony and applications, drug compounds, catheter sheaths, spinal implements, and wireless communication protocols.

Ryan's experience focuses primarily on inter partes review and patent prosecution, with particular focus on complex issues and litigation-driven inter partes proceedings. He has extensive experience in both initiating and defending inter partes review proceedings within the USPTO to drive positive resolutions for clients.

Additionally, Ryan has extensive experience in patent prosecution, including both in drafting and prosecuting applications. Ryan's prosecution practice involves building extensive portfolios in a variety of industries from high tech to chemical clients.

Education

- University of New Hampshire Franklin Pierce School of Law (J.D., 2008)
- Kenyon College (B.A., 2005)
 - Chemistry
- Case Western Reserve University (B.S., 2005)
 - Chemical Engineering

Bar Admissions

- Admitted to practice before the United States Patent and Trademark Office, 2010
- District of Columbia, 2010
- Pennsylvania, 2008

Memberships

- American Bar Association

Capabilities

- Chemical Intellectual Property
- Electrical Engineering & Computer Science Patent Prosecution
- Intellectual Property
- Intellectual Property Litigation
- Litigation
- Patent Litigation
- Patent Preparation & Prosecution
- Post-Grant Proceedings

- American Intellectual Property Association

Matters

- Represented Fortune 100 corporation in a litigation driven inter partes reexamination, resulting in all claims cancelled and settlement of concurrent litigation on favorable terms.
- Represented medical device manufacturer in inter partes review, using filed petitions as leverage for favorable settlements in concurrent litigation.
- Drafting and prosecuting patent applications through allowance for technology companies.

Publications

April 2, 2026

USPTO Introduces New Pre-Order Procedure for Substantial New Question Determinations in Ex Parte Reexamination

March 13, 2026

USPTO Expands PTAB Discretion to U.S. Manufacturing and Small Business

October 17, 2025

Once Upheld, Always Upheld? USPTO Proposal Limits IPR Access