

NOVEMBER-DECEMBER 2025

VOL. 25-10

PRATT'S

ENERGY LAW

REPORT



EDITOR'S NOTE: OPPORTUNITIES AND RISKS

Victoria Prussen Spears

THE LEAST KNOWN RISK IN THE ENERGY SECTOR: THE "ICTS" NATIONAL SECURITY RULES

Peter E. Jeydel

MORE "BIG BEAUTIFUL" LEASING IN THE GULF OF AMERICA

Michael Palmer and L. Poe Leggette

WIND AND SOLAR ON PUBLIC LAND FACE NEW FEE REGIME UNDER LANDMARK LEGISLATION

Michael Didriksen, Ellen S. Friedman and Matt Gurch

FROM IRA TO OBBBA: A NEW ERA FOR CLEAN ENERGY TAX CREDITS

Laurie Abramowitz, David A. Sausen and Lauren Olaya

PENNSYLVANIA UTILITY COMMISSION REVIEWS DATA CENTER IMPACTS AMID NEW ENERGY PLAN

Christine Soares

NEW TEXAS RAILROAD COMMISSION RULES: CHALLENGES AND PERMITTING FOR THE EXPLORATION AND PRODUCTION INDUSTRY

Gerald J. Pels and Gerald D. (Jerry) Higdon

TEXAS SENATE BILL 6: UNDERSTANDING THE IMPACTS TO LARGE LOADS AND CO-LOCATED GENERATION

Jonathan Levine, Juliana Sersen and Landon Lill

Pratt's Energy Law Report

VOLUME 25

NUMBER 10

November-December 2025

Editor's Note: Opportunities and Risks Victoria Prussen Spears	309
The Least Known Risk in the Energy Sector: The "ICTS" National Security Rules Peter E. Jeydel	311
More "Big Beautiful" Leasing in the Gulf of America Michael Palmer and L. Poe Leggette	316
Wind and Solar on Public Land Face New Fee Regime Under Landmark Legislation Michael Didriksen, Ellen S. Friedman and Matt Gurch	320
From IRA to OBBBA: A New Era for Clean Energy Tax Credits Laurie Abramowitz, David A. Sausen and Lauren Olaya	324
Pennsylvania Utility Commission Reviews Data Center Impacts Amid New Energy Plan Christine Soares	331
New Texas Railroad Commission Rules: Challenges and Permitting for the Exploration and Production Industry Gerald J. Pels and Gerald D. (Jerry) Higdon	335
Texas Senate Bill 6: Understanding the Impacts to Large Loads and Co-Located Generation Jonathan Levine, Juliana Sersen and Landon Lill	339

QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS PUBLICATION?

For questions about the **Editorial Content** appearing in these volumes or reprint permission, please call or email:

Raeesa Hoosen, LLB. at (1908) 673-3323

Email: raeesa.hoosen@lexisnexis.co.za

For assistance with replacement pages, shipments, billing or other customer service matters, please call:

Customer Services Department at (800) 833-9844

Outside the United States and Canada, please call (518) 487-3385

Fax Number (800) 828-8341

LexisNexis® Support Center <https://supportcenter.lexisnexis.com/app/home/>

For information on other Matthew Bender publications, please call

Your account manager or (800) 223-1940

Outside the United States and Canada, please call (518) 487-3385

ISBN: 978-1-6328-0836-3 (print)

ISBN: 978-1-6328-0837-0 (ebook)

ISSN: 2374-3395 (print)

ISSN: 2374-3409 (online)

Cite this publication as:

[author name], [*article title*], [vol. no.] PRATT'S ENERGY LAW REPORT [page number] (LexisNexis A.S. Pratt);

Ian Coles, *Rare Earth Elements: Deep Sea Mining and the Law of the Sea*, 14 PRATT'S ENERGY LAW REPORT 4 (LexisNexis A.S. Pratt)

This publication is designed to provide authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

LexisNexis and the Knowledge Burst logo are registered trademarks of RELX Inc. Matthew Bender, the Matthew Bender Flame Design, and A.S. Pratt are registered trademarks of Matthew Bender Properties Inc.

Copyright © 2025 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of LexisNexis. All Rights Reserved.

No copyright is claimed by LexisNexis or Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., in the text of statutes, regulations, and excerpts from court opinions quoted within this work. Permission to copy material may be licensed for a fee from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, Mass. 01923, telephone (978) 750-8400.

Editorial Office
230 Park Ave., 7th Floor, New York, NY 10169 (800) 543-6862
www.lexisnexis.com

MATTHEW  BENDER

Editor-in-Chief, Editor & Board of Editors

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

STEVEN A. MEYEROWITZ

President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

EDITOR

VICTORIA PRUSSEN SPEARS

Senior Vice President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

BOARD OF EDITORS

SAMUEL B. BOXERMAN

Partner, Sidley Austin LLP

ANDREW CALDER

Partner, Kirkland & Ellis LLP

JOHN C. CROSSLEY

Partner, K&L Gates LLP

M. SETH GINTHER

Partner, Hirschler Fleischer, P.C.

STEPHEN J. HUMES

Partner, Holland & Knight LLP

R. TODD JOHNSON

Partner, Jones Day

BARCLAY NICHOLSON

Partner, Norton Rose Fulbright

ELAINE M. WALSH

Partner, Baker Botts L.L.P.

SEAN T. WHEELER

Partner, Kirkland & Ellis LLP

Hydraulic Fracturing Developments

ERIC ROTHENBERG

Partner, O'Melveny & Myers LLP

Pratt's Energy Law Report is published 10 times a year by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. Copyright © 2025 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of LexisNexis. All Rights Reserved. No part of this journal may be reproduced in any form—by microfilm, xerography, or otherwise—or incorporated into any information retrieval system without the written permission of the copyright owner. For customer support, please contact LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 9443 Springboro Pike, Miamisburg, OH 45342 or call Customer Support at 1-800-833-9844. Direct any editorial inquiries and send any material for publication to Steven A. Meyerowitz, Editor-in-Chief, Meyerowitz Communications Inc., 26910 Grand Central Parkway Suite 18R, Floral Park, New York 11005, smeyerowitz@meyerowitzcommunications.com, 631.291.5541. Material for publication is welcomed—articles, decisions, or other items of interest to lawyers and law firms, in-house counsel, government lawyers, senior business executives, and anyone interested in privacy and cybersecurity related issues and legal developments. This publication is designed to be accurate and authoritative, but neither the publisher nor the authors are rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services in this publication. If legal or other expert advice is desired, retain the services of an appropriate professional. The opinions expressed are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of their employer, its clients, RELX, LexisNexis, Matthew Bender & Co., Inc., or any of its or their respective affiliates.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to *Pratt's Energy Law Report*, LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 230 Park Ave. 7th Floor, New York NY 10169.

Pennsylvania Utility Commission Reviews Data Center Impacts Amid New Energy Plan

*By Christine Soares**

In this article, the author explores the legal context, policy drivers and impacts that may emerge from the intersection of infrastructure growth and Pennsylvania energy policy.

As data centers surge across Pennsylvania, the PUC is taking a closer look at their impact on energy systems and regulatory oversight. At the same time, Governor Shapiro's Lightning Plan proposes sweeping changes to modernize the Commonwealth's energy systems, setting the stage for potential shifts in utility law and oversight. This article explores the legal context, policy drivers and impacts that may emerge from the intersection of infrastructure growth and state energy policy.

On April 24, 2025, the PUC convened an en banc hearing to address the growing impact of data centers and other large electricity consumers on the state's power grid. In the motion calling for the hearing, the chair recognized what has been a running theme across the nation for large load consumers and developers looking to attract data centers – uncertainty regarding both the interconnection timeline and the costs these users will face to procure power in the Commonwealth.

The hearing brought together stakeholders from tech, public utility and consumer advocacy groups to discuss the opportunities presented by the rapid expansion of energy-intensive facilities and the challenges posed by the new demand on the grid. The testimony bore out three primary themes:

- (1) Generation and reliability concerns;
- (2) Infrastructure investment and cost allocation; and
- (3) Tariff design.

INFRASTRUCTURE AND COST ALLOCATION

Fair cost allocation was articulated as a priority by utility and data center panelists alike. The utilities explained in detail how their large load interconnection process works, including how infrastructure investment costs specific to large load customers are allocated. Panelists encouraged the PUC to avoid the creation of a data center customer class and instead rely on cost-of-service studies and rate case proceedings to ensure transparency and that proper allocation of costs to data center customers. This would mean that data centers

* The author, an attorney with Polsinelli, may be contacted at csoares@polsinelli.com.

would be customers under tariffs and not under special contracts, which are often filed for commission approval on a confidential basis.

TARIFF DESIGN

The panelists expressed differing views around a model tariff versus a policy statement. Some panelists advocated for a policy statement citing concerns around changes in the market and the potential of a model tariff that is too restrictive or cannot adapt to a changing environment. Others, particularly the statutory advocates, believe a model tariff will level the playing field for utilities serving data centers and not force the utilities to compete against each other in attracting them.

Commissioner Zerfuss noted at the end of the utility panel that she saw no difference between a model tariff and a policy statement, as both would be considered recommendations and not mandates.

GENERATION AND RELIABILITY

With the anticipated surge in electricity demand, the PUC acknowledged the strain on the existing grid infrastructure. The PUC emphasized that simply building more generation or transmission facilities may not suffice, advocating for a diversified approach that includes load management and demand response strategies. Panelists discussed the concept of a “bring your own generation” (BYOG) model, where data centers would provide their own power generation infrastructure, such as solar panels or wind turbines, to support their primary generation needs.

From a regulatory compliance perspective, BYOG could convert a data center to a utility, thus obligating compliance with a host of utility regulations. While some data centers are already navigating complex FERC guidelines resulting from recent FERC orders allowing them to monetize their on-site generation, a BYOG data center could also be subject to grid interconnection laws, energy trading restrictions and local zoning laws around where on-site generation can be located.

It remains unclear whether BYOG would slow the development of data centers in the Commonwealth given the potential regulatory and legal obstacles that the data centers may face. There is a possibility, however, that the legal framework may change because of Governor Shapiro's Lightning Plan.

THE LIGHTNING PLAN

On the day of the PUC hearing, Governor Josh Shapiro's Lightning Plan was introduced into the General Assembly through six pieces of legislation.

- (1) The Pennsylvania Climate Emissions Reduction Act (PACER) (HB

503)¹ introduces a cap and invest program requiring power plants to pay for their carbon emissions with 70 percent of the revenues funneled back to consumers through utility bill rebates and the rest funding low-income assistance and clean energy initiatives.

- (2) The Pennsylvania Reliable Energy Sustainability Standard (PRESS) (HB 501)² aims to increase the Commonwealth's clean energy requirement from eight to 35 percent by 2035.
- (3) The Pennsylvania Reliable Energy Siting and Electric Transition (RESET) Board (HB 502)³ would expedite energy project approvals by streamlining the siting and permitting process in the Commonwealth, which is one of only 12 states without a state siting and permitting entity for such projects.
- (4) Improvements to the EDGE Tax Credit (HB 500)⁴ would add tax incentive credits for investment in energy infrastructure, including up to \$100 million annually for new power plants over three years.
- (5) The community energy bill (HB 504)⁵ would support rural communities, farmers and low-income residents by promoting shared energy resources – such as methane digesters on farms – to reduce energy costs.
- (6) Modernizing energy efficiency in the Commonwealth (HB 505)⁶ through an amendment to Act 129 would provide more money to consumers in the form of rebates and incentives for buying energy efficient appliances.

IN SUMMARY

- During a recent PUC hearing to evaluate how the rise in data centers is impacting energy demand, grid reliability and utility regulation, stakeholders emphasized fair cost allocation for infrastructure, opposing special treatment for data centers and favoring standard tariff processes.
- Primary concerns include infrastructure investment and cost allocation, generation and reliability issues, and tariff design.

¹ <https://www.palegis.us/legislation/bills/2025/hb503>.

² <https://www.palegis.us/legislation/bills/2025/hb501>.

³ <https://www.palegis.us/legislation/bills/2025/hb502>.

⁴ <https://www.palegis.us/legislation/bills/2025/hb0500>.

⁵ <https://www.palegis.us/legislation/bills/2025/hb0504>.

⁶ <https://www.palegis.us/legislation/bills/2025/hb0505>.

- Six proposed bills in connection with Governor Shapiro's Lightning Plan were unveiled on the same day of the PUC hearing, aimed at modernizing Pennsylvania's energy landscape through a carbon cap-and-invest program, expanded clean energy targets, streamlined project approvals, infrastructure tax incentives, support for rural and low-income communities, and enhanced energy efficiency rebates.

CONCLUSION

While the proposed legislation needs to work its way through the legislative process, those operating in Pennsylvania's energy sector should be prepared for potential changes in compliance requirements, tariff design, power procurement and infrastructure investment.