

The Banking Law Journal

Established 1889

An A.S. Pratt™ PUBLICATION

June 2024

Editor's Note: ESG

Victoria Prussen Spears

The Continued Evolution of the Anti-ESG Landscape for Financial Institutions

Randy Benjenk and Emily Hooker

Fintech Corporations: Defining the Practice and Regulation of Innovative Financial Enterprises – Part II

Lerong Lu

It's Not Your Fault, But It May Be Your Problem: Increasing Regulatory Scrutiny on Vendor Cybersecurity Risks

Kayleigh S. Shuler

Looking Ahead to the Federal Trade Commission's Implementation of the Data Breach Notification Rule for Nonbanking Financial Institutions

Alexander D. Boyd and Colin H. Black

U.S. Office of the Comptroller of the Currency Begins to Revamp Bank Merger Review Process

Michael D. Lewis and Matthew S. Katz

The Benefits of Term Debt Tranches in Fund Finance Products, and What to Consider When Utilizing Term Debt

Kiel A. Bowen, Mark C. Dempsey and Andrew L. Hogan

New York Department of Financial Services Adopts Final Guidance on Assessment of Character and Fitness of Directors, Senior Officers and Managers

Jarryd E. Anderson, Jessica S. Carey and Roberto J. Gonzalez

Declined: Consumer Financial Protection Bureau Proposes Rule to Limit Nonsufficient Funds Fees

Andrew E. Bigart, Max Bonici, Michael M. Aphibal, David A. McGee and Brandon Wong



LexisNexis

THE BANKING LAW JOURNAL

VOLUME 141

NUMBER 6

June 2024

Editor's Note: ESG Victoria Prussen Spears	237
The Continued Evolution of the Anti-ESG Landscape for Financial Institutions Randy Benjenk and Emily Hooker	240
Fintech Corporations: Defining the Practice and Regulation of Innovative Financial Enterprises – Part II Lerong Lu	259
It's Not Your Fault, But It May Be Your Problem: Increasing Regulatory Scrutiny on Vendor Cybersecurity Risks Kayleigh S. Shuler	270
Looking Ahead to the Federal Trade Commission's Implementation of the Data Breach Notification Rule for Nonbanking Financial Institutions Alexander D. Boyd and Colin H. Black	273
U.S. Office of the Comptroller of the Currency Begins to Revamp Bank Merger Review Process Michael D. Lewis and Matthew S. Katz	277
The Benefits of Term Debt Tranches in Fund Finance Products, and What to Consider When Utilizing Term Debt Kiel A. Bowen, Mark C. Dempsey and Andrew L. Hogan	282
New York Department of Financial Services Adopts Final Guidance on Assessment of Character and Fitness of Directors, Senior Officers and Managers Jarryd E. Anderson, Jessica S. Carey and Roberto J. Gonzalez	286
Declined: Consumer Financial Protection Bureau Proposes Rule to Limit Nonsufficient Funds Fees Andrew E. Bigart, Max Bonici, Michael M. Aphibal, David A. McGee and Brandon Wong	293

QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS PUBLICATION?

For questions about the **Editorial Content** appearing in these volumes or reprint permission, please call or email:

Matthew T. Burke at (800) 252-9257
Email: matthew.t.burke@lexisnexis.com

For assistance with replacement pages, shipments, billing or other customer service matters, please call or email:

Customer Services Department at (800) 833-9844
Outside the United States and Canada, please call (518) 487-3385
Fax Number (800) 828-8341
Customer Service Website <http://www.lexisnexis.com/custserv/>

For information on other Matthew Bender publications, please call

Your account manager or (800) 223-1940
Outside the United States and Canada, please call (937) 247-0293

ISBN: 978-0-7698-7878-2 (print)

ISSN: 0005-5506 (Print)

Cite this publication as:

The Banking Law Journal (LexisNexis A.S. Pratt)

Because the section you are citing may be revised in a later release, you may wish to photocopy or print out the section for convenient future reference.

This publication is designed to provide authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

LexisNexis and the Knowledge Burst logo are registered trademarks of RELX Inc. Matthew Bender, the Matthew Bender Flame Design, and A.S. Pratt are registered trademarks of Matthew Bender Properties Inc.

Copyright © 2024 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of LexisNexis. All Rights Reserved.

No copyright is claimed by LexisNexis or Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., in the text of statutes, regulations, and excerpts from court opinions quoted within this work. Permission to copy material may be licensed for a fee from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, Mass. 01923, telephone (978) 750-8400.

Editorial Office
230 Park Ave., 7th Floor, New York, NY 10169 (800) 543-6862
www.lexisnexis.com

MATTHEW  BENDER

Editor-in-Chief, Editor & Board of Editors

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

STEVEN A. MEYEROWITZ

President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

EDITOR

VICTORIA PRUSSEN SPEARS

Senior Vice President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

BOARD OF EDITORS

CARLETON GOSS

Partner, Hunton Andrews Kurth LLP

DOUGLAS LANDY

White & Case LLP

PAUL L. LEE

Of Counsel, Debevoise & Plimpton LLP

TIMOTHY D. NAEGELE

Partner, Timothy D. Naegele & Associates

STEPHEN J. NEWMAN

Partner, Steptoe & Johnson LLP

ANDREW OLMEM

Partner, Mayer Brown LLP

THE BANKING LAW JOURNAL (ISBN 978-0-76987-878-2) (USPS 003-160) is published ten times a year by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. Periodicals Postage Paid at Washington, D.C., and at additional mailing offices. Copyright 2024 Reed Elsevier Properties SA., used under license by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. No part of this journal may be reproduced in any form—by microfilm, xerography, or otherwise—or incorporated into any information retrieval system without the written permission of the copyright owner. For customer support, please contact LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 1275 Broadway, Albany, NY 12204 or e-mail Customer.Support@lexisnexis.com. Direct any editorial inquiries and send any material for publication to Steven A. Meyerowitz, Editor-in-Chief, Meyerowitz Communications Inc., 26910 Grand Central Parkway, #18R, Floral Park, NY 11005, smeyerowitz@meyerowitzcommunications.com, 631.291.5541. Material for publication is welcomed—articles, decisions, or other items of interest to bankers, officers of financial institutions, and their attorneys. This publication is designed to be accurate and authoritative, but neither the publisher nor the authors are rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services in this publication. If legal or other expert advice is desired, retain the services of an appropriate professional. The articles and columns reflect only the present considerations and views of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the firms or organizations with which they are affiliated, any of the former or present clients of the authors or their firms or organizations, or the editors or publisher.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to THE BANKING LAW JOURNAL, LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 230 Park Ave, 7th Floor, New York, NY 10169.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to THE BANKING LAW JOURNAL, A.S. Pratt & Sons, 805 Fifteenth Street, NW, Third Floor, Washington, DC 20005-2207.

Looking Ahead to the Federal Trade Commission's Implementation of the Data Breach Notification Rule for Nonbanking Financial Institutions

*By Alexander D. Boyd and Colin H. Black**

In this article, the authors discuss a new rule requiring nonbanking financial institutions to notify the Federal Trade Commission within 30 days of discovering a data breach involving the nonpublic personal information of at least 500 consumers.

Nonbanking “financial institutions” now must notify the Federal Trade Commission (FTC) within 30 days of discovering a data breach involving the nonpublic personal information of at least 500 consumers. These covered organizations can include a wide variety of companies that engage in financial activities but that are not directly regulated by federal banking regulators, including automobile dealerships, higher educational institutions participating in federal student financial aid programs, mortgage lenders or brokers, tax preparation firms, travel agencies, and others.

These organizations are already required to implement certain information security protections pursuant to the FTC's Safeguards Rule.¹ The FTC's new data breach notification requirement will provide the FTC with a critical tool to ensure that organizations are properly safeguarding consumer data.

BACKGROUND

All fifty states have enacted some form of a data breach notification law. Certain industries are also subject to data breach notification obligations at the federal level. The Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act (GLBA) imposes certain privacy and data security obligations on covered “financial institutions.”² Under the GLBA, financial institutions are broadly defined to include any institutions engaging in activities that are financial in nature or incidental to such financial activity.³ For banking (typically depository) financial institutions, the GLBA provides enforcement authority to the federal banking regulators (the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, Federal Reserve, Office of the Comptroller of

* The authors, attorneys with Polsinelli PC, may be contacted at aboyd@polsinelli.com and cblack@polsinelli.com, respectively.

¹ 16 C.F.R. Part 314.

² 15 U.S.C. §§ 6801-6809.

³ 15 U.S.C. § 6801(3).

the Currency, and National Credit Union Administration). For all other types of financial institutions, the GLBA provides enforcement authority to the FTC.⁴

Under the FTC's existing Safeguards Rule, covered financial institutions must develop, implement and maintain an information security program that includes nine specific elements.⁵ On October 27, 2023, the FTC adopted an amendment to the FTC's Safeguards Rule that will increase the number of organizations subject to federal data breach reporting requirements, including many organizations that may not realize they are considered a "financial institution" under the GLBA's broad definition.

REQUIREMENTS UNDER THE AMENDED SAFEGUARDS RULE

The amended Safeguards Rule requires financial institutions to report any instance of the unauthorized acquisition of unencrypted customer information of at least 500 consumers to the FTC as soon as possible but in no event later than thirty days following discovery of the incident. The rule broadly defines customer information to include any nonpublic personal information about a customer of a financial institution, whether in paper, electronic or other form.⁶ This includes any information provided by the customer in order to obtain a financial product, information about a customer resulting from any transaction involving a financial product or service, and any other information obtained about the customer in connection with providing the financial service.

The notice to the FTC must include:

- (1) The name and contact information of the reporting financial institution;
- (2) A description of the types of information that were involved in the notification event;
- (3) The date or date range of the notification event (if it is possible to determine);
- (4) The number of consumers affected;
- (5) A general description of the event; and
- (6) If applicable, whether any law enforcement official has provided the institution with a written determination that notifying the public of a breach would impede a criminal investigation.

⁴ 15 U.S.C. § 6805.

⁵ 16 C.F.R. § 14.4.

⁶ 16 C.F.R. § 314.2.

ANTICIPATING FTC INVESTIGATIONS AND PUBLIC DISCLOSURE UNDER THE NEW RULE

Once an organization notifies the FTC of a data breach under the new rule, it will then face risks associated with the public disclosure of the notice and a potential FTC investigation. The FTC intends to publicly post the data breach notices it receives.⁷ These postings will increase the risk of litigation and media attention arising out of the data incident.

The FTC is also likely to initiate investigations into many of the reported breaches.⁸ Consistent with how the FTC has investigated prior data security incidents and consistent with how other federal regulators investigate reported incidents, reporting organizations should expect the FTC to conduct a three-pronged inquiry following a data breach report.

First, the FTC will likely request information about how the organization responded to the incident, including how it conducted its investigation, how it ensured that its systems were secure, and whether and how it notified potentially affected individuals.

Second, the FTC is likely to seek information about the organization's underlying information security program and compliance with the FTC's Safeguards Rule.

Finally, the FTC may seek information about the organization's overall data privacy compliance program under the FTC's jurisdiction to investigate and prohibit unfair or deceptive acts or practices in commerce.⁹ The FTC's inquiry into these areas can be quite detailed.

STEPS TO TAKE

As a threshold matter, all organizations should determine whether they are subject to the FTC's Safeguards Rule well in advance of any data security incident. The new data breach notification requirement is only one part of the more comprehensive set of data security requirements under the Safeguards Rule. Covered organizations must implement an information security program that contains nine specific elements. This new reporting rule provides the FTC with a new method to identify and investigate financial institutions that may not be compliant with the Safeguards Rule.

Covered organizations should ensure that their data security incident response plans address the new rule by incorporating the definitions and

⁷ 88 Fed. Reg. 77,506 (Nov. 13, 2023).

⁸ 88 Fed. Reg. 77,501 (Nov. 13, 2023).

⁹ 15 U.S.C. 45.

reporting time frames under the FTC rule and other applicable law. As with any external notice regarding a data security incident, notices to the FTC should be timely, factual and accurate. The organization should identify the person or team who will be responsible for leading the organization's incident response and ensuring that regulators are notified in accordance with applicable law.

The organization should distribute the updated incident response plan to all individuals who may be required to execute on the plan in both physical and digital formats. Once the plan is adopted, organizations should ensure that the plan is routinely tested to identify potential gaps and to increase the effectiveness of the response plan under an actual crisis.